## Information Evaluation Rubric ... C.R.A.P. Test ....

| Criterion  | Poor 0 points   | Fair 1 point   | Good 3 points  | Excellent 5 points   |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| <b>Currency</b><br>When was it published?<br>When was the last uodate?<br>How recent do you need it to be?   | No date indicated, no updates shown, information is out of date.  | Published date is shown, but not updated in a long time.   | Update is shown but is slightly out of date.   | Updates are shown, regular and current.  |
| <b>Reliability</b><br>What kind of information is included?<br>How complete is this information?   | Information is difficult to<br>understand, and may include<br>errors, with incomplete or<br>inaccurate information. | Has grammatical and spelling<br>errors.<br>Consistent line of thought,<br>information is superficial.  | Easy to understand, has<br>some spelling and grammar<br>errors, information is current<br>and accurate.                    | No errors, clear concise, well written,<br>information is current, accurate and<br>relevant. An in-depth understanding of the<br>related issues shows the author's<br>familiarity with the subject. Links to or<br>from are also reliable. Has a bibliography.<br>Information can be verified from other<br>sources. |
| <b>Authority</b><br>Who wrote the information?<br>What are their credentials?<br>Who is the publisher / sponsor?                                     | No author is identified<br>No publisher   | Author is identified, but no<br>credentials are given, no contact<br>details given. The publisher is not<br>reputable.                       | Author is identified, contact<br>details given, credentials are<br>valid.<br>The publisher is identified<br>and reputable. | Author and publisher are clearly<br>identified, respected and reliable.<br>Able to confirm legitimacy of the author<br>and content.  |
| <b>Purpose</b><br>Why has the person or organisation<br>created this resource?<br>Is it fact, opinion or propaganda?<br>Is it biased or stereotyped? | Information is presented with<br>emotive words, unbalanced views<br>or questionable facts.                          | Contains some questionable<br>content with a few emotive words.<br>The content is the opinion of the<br>author with little support of facts. | Facts are presented free from bias, information is thorough.   | Facts are presented free from bias,<br>information is thorough, multiple<br>viewpoints are given. Purpose is clearly<br>identifiable as educational with an<br>intended audience.  |

## Personal Evaluation of the Information source

| Criterion   | Poor 0  | Fair 1  | Good 3   | Excellent 5  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| <b>Relevancy :</b> How much use will it be to me? | The information has no relevancy for what I need to find out    | There is a little bit of information I can use. | I will be able to use some of the information to answer my question. | Most of the information I will be able to use to answer my question. |
| Appropriate : How much can I understand?          | All of the words and content are too hard for me to understand. | There are a number of words I don't understand. | There are only a few words and phrases I don't understand.           | I am able to understand all the content and words.                   |